

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/421,771	10/19/1999	JACK REGULA	136.1001.04	4583	
22883 75	590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER		
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC			РАТЕL, АЛТ		
P.O. BOX 3900 MOUNTAIN V	013 TEW, CA 94039-0013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2664	2664	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~ \						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	09/421,771	REGULA, JACK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AJIT G. PATEL	2664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 91-154 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 99-107,122-135 and 149-153 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 91-98,108-121,136-148,154 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 09/421,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 2664

1. Claim 132 should depend on claim 128 or 131 to provide the antecedent base in claim.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 91-98,111,113-116,121,139,141-144,154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hutchison et al (5,327,534).108-110,112,117-120,138,140-148

Regarding claim 91,95, Hutchison et al disclose a multiport LAN bridge incorporating the steps of capturing the address from the bus (lines 25-49, col. 9); converting the address into a value stored in the routing tag (lines 25-49, col. 9).

Regarding claim 92, 96, Hutchison et al disclose the step of accessing the value from a first address memory (fAMCAM) after assertion of the address to the fAMCAM (lines 25-32, col. 9).

Regarding claim 93,97, Hutchison et al disclose the fAMCAM comprises a first register that defines an address window on the bus (lines 25-49, col. 9).

Regarding claim 94,98, Hutchison et al disclose the step of storing a configuration value in the first register (lines 40-57, col. 5); broadcasting the configuration value to the second node for storage in the second register (lines 40-57, col. 5).

Art Unit: 2664

Regarding claim 111,139, Hutchison et al disclose the limitation of sending a response cell

Page 3

by second node to the first node (lines 105, col. 3; lines 39-47, col. 3).

Regarding claim 113,141, Hutchison et al disclose the limitation of "generating at least one

transfer attribute from the bus operation and including the at least one transfer attribute within the

cell" (lines 52-64, col. 3).

Regarding claim 114,142, Hutchison et al disclose the limitation of "the cell is read-

initiate cell, an interrupt transition cell, a read response cell, a write-initiate cell, or a write-response

cell" (fig. 8).

Regarding claim 116,144 Hutchison et al disclose the limitation of "automatically initializing

the fAMCAM responsive to one or more operations on the bus" (lines 34-66, col. 12).

Regarding claim 121,154, Hutchison et al disclose the limitation of "determining whether

the value identifies the host node and broadcasting the cell dependent on the step of determining

when the value does not identify the host node" (lines 40-51, col. 5).

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2664

Claims 108-110,112,117-120,138,140-148 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5.

unpatentable over Hutchison et al in view of Caspi et al (5,546,385).

Regarding claims 110, 112, 117, 138,140,145, the bus of Hutchison et al fail to disclose that

Page 4

the bus is PCI bus. Caspi et al disclose a communication system which comprises PCI bus which

connects the peripheral components for communication among a variety of input/output components

such as communication and graphics co-processors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

skilled in the art to use PCI bus as taught by Caspi et al in the communication system of Hutchison

et al for connecting the users for communication.

Regarding claims 119,120,147,148, Hutchison et al fail to disclose sliding window technique.

The sliding window technique is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

skilled in the art to use sliding window technique in the system of Hutchison et al in order to control

the flow of data.

C'IL & D' 107, 12 1 I L-215 l'are micon : la crista herre de l'aum rojo ted basa

Loring the first the first and the first several and independent from the confidence of the first terms of t

bacc claim and my inner and become.

The second secon

Com of Canana Irademarks

Art Unit: 2664

6. Claims 99-107,122-135,149-153 are allowed.

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argued that the Hutchison does not teach the step of converting address into a value stored in the routing tag. However the above limitation is interpreted as mapping the address (lines 25-49, col.9) as indicated in the specification of the instant application. Also, it is noted that the bus is interpreted as a connection or wire or cable which is taught by the Hutchinson. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use PCI bus as taught by Caspi et al in the communication system of Hutchinson et al for connecting the users for communication. Also, it is noted that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art ti use sliding widow technique in the system of Hutchinson et al in order to control the flow of data.

Art Unit: 2664

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AJIT G. PATEL whose telephone number is 703-308-5347. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AP

Ajit Patel Primary Examiner